arXiv:math/0205233v2 [math.RA] 27 May 2004

THE RING OF MULTISYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Francesco Vaccarino

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring and let n, m be two positive integers. Let $A_R(n, m)$ be the polynomial ring in the commuting independent variables $x_i(j)$ with $i = 1, \dots, m\,; j = 1, \dots, n$ and coefficients in R. The symmetric group on n letters S_n acts on $A_R(n, m)$ by means of $\sigma(x_i(j)) = x_i(\sigma(j))$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $i = 1, \dots, m\,; j = 1, \dots, n$. Let us denote by $A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ the rings of invariants for this action: its elements are usually called multisymmetric functions and they are the usual symmetric functions when $m = 1$. In this paper we will give a presentation in terms of generators and relations that holds for any R and any n, m answering in this way to a classical question. I would like to thank M.Brion, C.De Concini and C.Procesi, in alphabetical order, for useful discussions.

Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring and let n, m be two positive integers. Let $A_R(n, m)$ be the polynomial ring in the commuting independent variables $x_i(j)$ with $i = 1, \dots, m\,; j = 1, \dots, n$ and coefficients in R. The symmetric group on n letters S_n acts on $A_R(n, m)$ by means of $\sigma(x_i(j)) = x_i(\sigma(j))$ for all $\sigma \in S_n$ and $i = 1, \dots, m\,; j = 1, \dots, n$. Let us denote by $A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ the rings of invariants for this action: its elements are usually called multisymmetric functions and they are the usual symmetric functions when $m = 1$.

When $m = 1$ then $A_R(n, 1) \cong R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{S_n}$ is freely generated by the elementary symmetric functions $e_1, \dots, e_n$ that are given by the equality

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} t^k e_k := \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t x_i) \tag{0.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E05, 13A50, 20C30.

Key words and phrases. Characteristic free invariant theory, symmetric functions, representations of symmetric groups.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

where $e_0 = 1$ and t is a commuting independent variable (see [4]). Furthermore one has

$$e_k(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k} \tag{0.2}$$

Let $A_R(m) := R[y_1, \dots, y_m]$, where $y_1, \dots, y_m$ are commuting independent variables, let $f \in A_R(m)$ and define

$$f(j) := f(x_1(j), \dots, x_m(j)) \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{0.3}$$

Notice that $f(j) \in A_R(n, m)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$.
Define $e_k(f) := e_k(f(1), f(2), \dots, f(n))$ i.e.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} t^k e_k(f) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t f(i)) \tag{0.4}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_m$ be the set of monomials in $A_R(m)$ and $\mathcal{M}_m^+$ the set of those of positive degree. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m$ and let $\partial_i(\mu)$ denote the degree of μ in y_i, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. We set

$$\partial(\mu) := (\partial_1(\mu), \dots, \partial_m(\mu)) \tag{0.5}$$

for its multidegree. The total degree of μ is

$$l(\mu) := \sum_i \partial_i(\mu). \tag{0.6}$$

These degrees can be extended to $A_R(n, m)$ by $\partial(x_i(j)) = \partial(y_i)$ as S_n-module. If f is homogeneous of total degree l then $e_k(f)$ has total degree kl (for all k and n).
A monomial $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ is called *primitive* it is not a power of another one. We denote by $\mathfrak{M}_m^+$ the set of primitive monomials.
We are now able to state the first part of our result.

Generators. *The ring of multisymmetric functions $A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ is generated by the $e_k(\mu)$ with $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$, $k = 1, \dots n$ and $l(e_k(\mu)) \leq max(n, n(m-1))$. If $n = p^s$ is a power of a prime and $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $p \cdot 1_R = 0$ then at least one generator has degree equal to $max(n, n(m-1))$.*
If $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ then $A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ can also be generated by the $e_1(\mu)$ with $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ and $l(\mu) \leq n$.

Let us now find the relations between these generators that is the second part of our result.
Let again $m = 1$. The action of S_n on $A_R(n, 1) \cong R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ preserves the usual degree. We denote by $\Lambda_{R,n}^k$ the group of invariants of degree k, and

$$\Lambda_{n,R} := R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^{S_n} = \oplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda_{n,R}^k.$$

Let $q_n : R[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] \longrightarrow R[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$ be given by $x_n \mapsto 0$ and $x_i \mapsto x_i$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. This map sends $\Lambda^k_{n,R}$ to $\Lambda^k_{n-1,R}$.
Denote by Λ^k_R the limit of the inverse system obtained in this way.
The ring $\Lambda_R := \oplus_{k\geq 0}\Lambda^k_R$ is called the ring of *symmetric functions* (over R).
It can be shown [4] that Λ_R is a free polynomial ring freely generated by the (limit of the) e_k, that are given by

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k e_k := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 + tx_i). \tag{0.7}$$

Furthermore the kernel of the natural projection $\pi_n : \Lambda_R \longrightarrow \Lambda_{n,R}$ is generated by the e_{n+k} with $k \geq n$.
In a similar way we build a limit of multisymmetric functions. For any $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$ we set $A_R(n, m, a)$ for the linear span of the monomials of multidegree a. One has

$$A_R(n, m) = \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{N}^m} A_R(n, m, a). \tag{0.8}$$

Let h be an integer with $h > n$. Let $\pi^h_n : A_R(h, m) \longrightarrow A_R(n, m)$ be given by

$$\pi^h_n(x_i(j)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ x_i(j) & \text{if } j \leq n \end{cases} \qquad \text{for all } i. \tag{0.9}$$

then we prove that

$$\pi^h_n(A_R(h, m)^{S_h}) = A_R(n, m)^{S_n} \tag{0.10}$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$ set

$$A_R(\infty, m, a) := \lim_{\leftarrow} A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n} \tag{0.11}$$

where the projective limit is taken with respect to n over the projective system $(A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n}, \pi^{n+1}_{n,a})$ with $\pi^{n+1}_{n,a} : A_R(h, m, a) \rightarrow A_R(n, m, a)$ the restriction of π^{n+1}_n.
Set

$$A_R(\infty, m) := \bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{N}^m} A_R(\infty, m, a). \tag{0.12}$$

We set, by abuse of notation,

$$e_j(f) := e_j((f(1), \ldots, f(k), \ldots)) \in A_R(\infty, m) \tag{0.13}$$

with $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in A(m)^+$, the augmentation ideal, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k e_k(f) := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 + tf(i)). \tag{0.14}$$

We can now state the second part of our main result.

Relations.

(1) *The ring* $R \otimes A_{\mathbb{Z}}(\infty, m)$ *is a polynomial ring freely generated by the (limit of) the* $1_R \otimes e_k(\mu)$ *with* $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *The the kernel of the natural projection*

$$\pi_n : R \otimes A_{\mathbb{Z}}(\infty, m) \longrightarrow A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$$

is generated by the elements

$$e_{n+k}(f) = 0 \ \text{ with } \ k \geq 1 \ \text{ and } \ f \in A_R(m)^+.$$

(2) *If* $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ *then* $A_R(\infty, m)$ *can be freely generated by the* $e_1(\mu)$ *with* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$. *The the kernel of the natural projection is then generated by* $e_{n+1}(f)$ *with* $f \in A_R(m)^+$.

1. Generators

In order to prove the theorem on generators stated in the introduction we need to introduce some machinery.
Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_1 \dots, f_k \in A_R(m)$ and $t_1, \dots, t_k$ be commuting independent variables, define elements $e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(f_1, \dots, f_k) \in A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ by

$$\sum_{\sum \alpha_j \leq n} t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_k^{\alpha_k} e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(f_1, \dots, f_k) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t_1 f_1(i) + \cdots + t_k f_k(i)) \tag{1.1}$$

Example 1.2. Let $n = 3$ and $f, g \in A_R(m)$ then

$$e_{(2,1)}(f, g) = f(1)f(2)g(3) + f(1)g(2)f(3) + g(1)f(2)f(3).$$

If $n = 4$ then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{(2,1)}(f, g) =& f(1)f(2)g(3) + f(1)g(2)f(3) + g(1)f(2)f(3)+ \\ & f(1)f(2)g(4) + f(1)g(2)f(4) + g(1)f(2)f(4)+ \\ & f(1)f(3)g(4) + f(1)g(3)f(4) + g(1)f(3)f(4)+ \\ & f(2)f(3)g(4) + f(2)g(3)f(4) + g(2)f(3)f(4) \end{aligned}$$

Let $k = m$ and $f_j = y_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ then the $e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ with $\sum \alpha_j \leq n$ are the well known *elementary multisymmetric function* that generates $A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ when $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ see [3] or [6] and are given by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_j x_j(i)) = \sum_{\sum \alpha_j \leq n} t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_m^{\alpha_m} e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_m)}(y_1, \dots, y_m). \tag{1.3}$$

It can be easily seen that $e_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)}(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$ is the orbit sum (under the considered action of S_n) of

$$f_1(1)f_1(2)\cdots f_1(\alpha_1)f_2(\alpha_1+1)\cdots f_2(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)\cdots f_k(\alpha_k) \tag{1.4}$$

It is clear that the $e_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)}(f_1,\ldots,f_k) = e_{(\alpha_{\tau(1)},\ldots,\alpha_{\tau(k)})}(f_{\tau(1)},\ldots,f_{\tau(k)})$ for all $\tau \in S_k$; furthermore if two entries are equal, say $f_1 = f_2$, then

$$e_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)}(f_1,\ldots,f_k) = \frac{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)!}{\alpha_1!\alpha_2!}e_{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_k)}(f_1,f_3\ldots,f_k). \tag{1.5}$$

If the arguments f_i of $e_{(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_k)}(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$ are all distinct we say it is *reduced.* Let $\mathbb{N}^{(\mathcal{M}_m^+)}$ be the set of functions $\mathcal{M}_m^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with finite support, we set

$$\mid \alpha \mid := \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_m^+} \alpha(\mu) \tag{1.6}$$

We introduce the elements $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) \in A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$ with $\mid \alpha \mid \leq n$ by

$$\sum_{|\alpha|\leq n} t^\alpha e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_m^+} t_\mu \mu(i)) \tag{1.7}$$

where t_μ are commuting independent variables and $t^\alpha := \prod_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_m^+} t_\mu^{\alpha(\mu)}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathcal{M}_m^+)}$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathcal{M}_m^+)}$ is such that $\alpha(\mu) = k$ and $\alpha(\nu) = 0$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ and for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ with $\nu \neq \mu$ we see that $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) = e_k(\mu)$ (see introduction) i.e. the k-th elementary symmetric function evaluated at $(\mu(1),\mu(2),\ldots,\mu(n))$.

Proposition 1.8. *The (ordered) set*

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,m,R} := \{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) \ : \mid \alpha \mid \leq n\}$$

is a R-basis of $A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$.
The (ordered) set

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,m,\alpha,R} := \{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) \ : \mid \alpha \mid \leq n \text{ and } \partial(e_\alpha)(\overline{\mu}) = \beta\}$$

is a R-basis of $A_R(n,m,\beta)^{S_n}$, *for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^m$.

Proof. The $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu})$ are complete system of representative (for the action of S_n) of the orbit sums of the products

$$\{\mu_1(1)\mu_2(2)\cdots\mu_n(n) \ : \ \mu_i \in \mathcal{M}_m \, , \, i = 1,\ldots,n\}$$

furthermore $\partial(e_\alpha)(\overline{\mu}) = \sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}_m^+} \alpha_\mu \partial(\mu)$.

Let us calculate the product between two elements as above.

Proposition 1.9 - Product Formula.
Let $k, h \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $f_1 \dots, f_k, g_1, \dots, g_h \in A_R(m)$ *and* $t_1, \dots, t_k, s_1, \dots, s_h$ *be commuting independent variables, set*

$$e_\alpha(\overline{f}) := e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(f_1,\dots,f_k) \text{ and } e_\beta(\overline{g}) := e_{(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_h)}(g_1,\dots,g_h)$$

then

$$e_\alpha(\overline{f})e_\beta(\overline{g}) = \sum_\gamma e_\gamma(f_1,\dots,f_k,g_1,\dots,g_h,f_1g_1,f_1g_2,\dots,f_kg_1,\dots,f_kg_h)$$

where $\gamma := (\gamma_{10},\dots,\gamma_{k0},\gamma_{01},\dots,\gamma_{0h},\gamma_{11},\gamma_{12},\dots,\gamma_{k1},\dots,\gamma_{kh})$ *is such that*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \mid \gamma \mid \leq n \\ \sum_{j=0}^h \gamma_{ij} = \alpha_i \ \text{ for } \ i = 1,\dots,k \\ \sum_{i=0}^k \gamma_{ij} = \beta_j \ \text{ for } \ j = 1,\dots,h. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The result follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\sum \alpha_j,\, \sum \beta_l \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{l=1}^h t_j^{\alpha_j} s_l^{\beta_l} e_\alpha(\overline{f}) e_\beta(\overline{g}) = \\
&(\sum_{\sum \alpha_j \leq n} \prod_{j=1}^k t_j^{\alpha_j} e_\alpha(\overline{f}))(\sum_{\sum \beta_l \leq n} \prod_{l=1}^h s_l^{\beta_l} e_\beta(\overline{g})) = \\
&\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \sum_{j=1}^k t_j f_j(i)) \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \sum_{l=1}^h s_l g_l(i)) = \\
&\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \sum_{j=1}^k t_j f_j(i) + \sum_{l=1}^h s_l g_l(i) + \sum_{j \leq l} t_j s_l f_j(i) g_l(i)) = \\
&\sum_\gamma (\prod_{a=1}^k t_a^{\gamma_{a0}} \prod_{b=1}^h s_b^{\gamma_{0b}} \prod_{a=1}^k \prod_{b=1}^h (t_a s_b)^{\gamma_{ab}} e_\gamma(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{fg}))
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{fg} := (f_1g_1, f_1g_2, \dots, f_kg_1, \dots, f_kg_h)$ and γ satisfies the condition of the statement.

Example 1.10. Let us calculate in $A_R(2,3)^{S_2}$

$$e_{(1,1)}(a,b)e_2(c) = \sum_{0 \leq k,h \leq 1} e_{(1-k,1-h,2-k-h,h,k)}(a,b,c,ac,bc) = e_{(1,1)}(ac,bc)$$

since $1 - k + 1 - h + 2 - k - h + h + k = 4 - k - h \leq 2$.

Corollary 1.11. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A_R(m)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $\sum \alpha_j \leq n$, then $e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ belongs to the subring of $A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$ generated by the $e_i(\mu)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and μ monomial in the $a_1, \dots, a_k$.*

Proof. Let $k, a_1, \dots, a_k, \alpha$ be as in the statement, then

$$e_{\alpha_1}(a_1)e_{(\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_k)}(a_2, \dots, a_k) =$$

$$= e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k) + \sum e_\gamma(a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1a_2, \dots, a_1a_k)$$

where now

$$\gamma = (\gamma_{10}, \gamma_{01}, \dots, \gamma_{0h}, \gamma_{11}, \gamma_{12}, \dots, \gamma_{1h})$$

with $h = k - 1$ and $\sum_{j=0}^h \gamma_{1j} = \alpha_1$ with $\sum_{j=1}^h \gamma_{1j} > 0$ and $\gamma_{0j} + \gamma_{1j} = \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, h$. Thus

$$\gamma_{10} + \gamma_{01} + \cdots + \gamma_{0h} + \gamma_{11} + \cdots + \gamma_{1h} = \sum_j \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^h \gamma_{1j} < \sum_j \alpha_j.$$

Hence

$$e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k) =$$

$$e_{\alpha_1}(a_1)e_{(\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_k)}(a_2, \dots, a_k) - \sum e_\gamma(a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1a_2, a_1a_3, \dots, a_1a_k)$$

with $\sum_j \alpha_j < \sum_{r,s} \gamma_{rs}$.
By induction on $\sum_j \alpha_j$ it is then possible to write $e_{(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k)}(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ as a polynomial in $e_j(\mu)$ with μ monomial in the $a_1, \dots, a_k$.

Example 1.12. Consider $e_{(2,1)}(a, b)$ in $A_R(3, m)$ as in example 1.2, then

$$e_{(2,1)}(a, b) = e_2(a)e_1(b) - e_{(1,1)}(a, ab) = e_2(a)e_1(b) - e_1(a)e_1(ab) + e_1(a^2b).$$

We now recall some basic facts about classical symmetric functions, for further reading on this topic see [4].
In Λ_R (see Introduction) we have a further operation beside the product: the *plethysm.* Let $g, f \in \Lambda_R$, we say that $h \in \Lambda_R$ is the plethysm of g by f and we denote it by $h = g \cdot f$ if h is obtained by substituting the monomials appearing in f at the place of the variables in g.
We have another distinguished kind of functions in Λ_R beside the elementary symmetric ones: the *powers sums.*
For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ the r-th power sum is

$$p_r := \sum_{i \geq 1} x_i^r.$$

Let $g \in \Lambda_R$, then the plethysm $g \cdot p_r = g(x_1^r, x_2^r, \dots, x_k^r, \dots)$. Since the e_i generate Λ_R we have that $g \cdot p_r$ can be expressed as a polynomial in the e_i, we set

$$P_{h,k} := e_h \cdot p_k$$

a polynomial in the e_i's. These result are clearly valid also in $\Lambda_{n,R}$ with the precaution that $e_i = 0$ for $i \geq n + 1$.

Proposition 1.13. *For all* $a \in A_R(m)$*, and* $k, i \in \mathbb{N}$*,* $e_i(a^k)$ *belongs to the subring of* $A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$ *generated by the* $e_j(a)$*.*

Proof. Let $a \in A(m)$ and consider $e_h(a^k) \in A(n,m)^{S_n}$, we have (see Introduction)

$$e_h(a^k) = e_h(a(1)^k, \dots, a(n)^k) = P_{h,k}(e_1(a(1), \dots, a(n)), \dots, e_n(a(1), \dots, a(n)))$$

and the result is proved.

Recall that a monomial $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ is called *primitive* if it is not a power of another one and we denote by $\mathfrak{M}_m^+$ the set of primitive monomials. Let we state again the first part of the main result

Theorem 1.14 - Generators.
The ring of multisymmetric functions $A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$ *is generated by the* $e_k(\mu)$ *with* $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$*,* $k = 1, \dots n$ *and* $l(e_k(\mu)) \leq max(n, n(m-1))$*. If* $n = p^s$ *is a power of a prime and* $R = \mathbb{Z}$ *or* $p \cdot 1_R = 0$ *then at least one generator has degree equal to* $max(n, n(m-1))$*.*
If $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ *then* $A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$ *can also be generated by the* $e_1(\mu)$ *with* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ *and* $l(\mu) \leq n$*.*

Proof. The elements $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) \in \mathcal{B}_{n,m,R}$, that form a R-basis by 1.8, can be expressed as polynomial in $e_i(\mu)$ with $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$, by 1.11. If $\mu = \nu^k$, with $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$ then $e_i(\mu)$ can be expressed as a polynomial in the $e_j(\nu)$ in $A(n,m)^{S_n}$, by 1.13. Since for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$ such that $\mu = \nu^k$ we have that $A(n,m)^{S_n}$ is generated as commutative ring by the $e_j(\nu)$, with $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$.
The result then follows by the following due to Fleischmann [2]: $A_R(n,m)^{S_n}$ can be generated by elements of total degree $\ell \leq max(n, n(m-1))$, for any commutative ring R, with sharp bound if $n = p^s$ a power of a prime and $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $p \cdot 1_R = 0$. If $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ then the result follows from Newton's Formulas and the well known result of H.Weyl (see [3],[6]).

2. Relations

Let now h be an integer with $h > n$. Let $\pi_n^h : A_R(h,m) \longrightarrow A_R(n,m)$ be given by

$$\pi_n^h(x_i(j)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > n \\ x_i(j) & \text{if } j \leq n \end{cases} \qquad \text{for all } i. \tag{2.1}$$

this is a multigraded ring epimorphism such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{h}(1 + t_1 a_1(i) + \cdots + t_k a_k(i)) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1 + t_1 a_1(i) + \cdots + t_k a_k(i)) \tag{2.2}$$

where k, a_j, t_j are as usual. Hence

$$\pi_n^h(e_\alpha(\overline{\mu})) = \begin{cases} e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) & \text{if } \mid \alpha \mid \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } \mid \alpha \mid > n. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

thus, by Prop.1.8, for all $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$ the restriction

$$\pi_{n,a}^h : A_R(h, m, a) \to A_R(n, m, a) \tag{2.4}$$

is such that

$$\pi_{h,a}^h(A_R(h, m, a)^{S_h}) = A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n}. \tag{2.5}$$

and then $(A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n}, \pi_{n,a}^{n+1})$ is a projective sytem.
For any $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$ set

$$A_R(\infty, m, a) := \lim_{\leftarrow} A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n} \tag{2.6}$$

where the projective limit is taken with respect to n over the above projective system and set

$$\pi_{n,a} : A_R(\infty, m, a) \longrightarrow A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n} \tag{2.7}$$

for the natural projection.
Set

$$A_R(\infty, m) := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}^m} A_R(\infty, m, a). \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\pi_n := \oplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}^m} \pi_{n,a}. \tag{2.9}$$

Similarly to the classic case ($m = 1$) we make an abuse of notation and set $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) := \lim\limits_{\leftarrow} e_\alpha(\overline{\mu})$, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathcal{M}_m^+)}$ where $a = \partial(e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}))$. In the same way we set $e_j(f) := \lim\limits_{\leftarrow} e_j(f)$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}$ where $f \in A_R(m)^+$ is homogeneous of positive multidegree so that $j\ \partial(f) = a$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let* $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$.

1. *The* R*-module* $\ker \pi_{n,a}$ *is the linear span of*

$$\{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) \in A_R(\infty, m, a) : \mid \alpha \mid > n\}.$$

2. *The* R*-module homomorphisms* $\pi_{n,a} : A_R(\infty, m, a) \longrightarrow A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n}$ *are onto for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $A_R(\infty, m, a) \cong A_R(n, m, a)^{S_n}$ *for all* $n \geq \mid a \mid$.
3. *The* R*-module* $A_R(\infty, m, a)$ *is a free* R*-module with basis*

$$\{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) : \partial(e_\alpha(\overline{\mu})) = a\},$$

4. *The* R*-module* $A_R(\infty, m)$ *is a free* R*-module with basis*

$$\{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathcal{M}_m^+)}\},$$

Proof.

(1) By 2.5, for all $h > n$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$, the following is an exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to \ker \pi^h_{n,a} \to A(h,m,a)^{S_h} \xrightarrow{\pi^h_{n,a}} A(n,m,a)^{S_n} \to 0.$$

that splits by (2.3) and Prop.1.8 and the claim follows.

(2) If $\sum_{j=1}^m a_j \leq k$ then $\ker \pi^k_{n,a} = 0$ for all $k > n$, indeed

$$\partial(e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+} \alpha_\mu \, \partial(\mu) = a \Longrightarrow \mid \alpha \mid \leq \sum_{j=1}^m a_j \leq n.$$

Hence $A(h,m,a)^{S_h} \cong A(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j, m, a)^{S_h}$ for all $h \geq \sum_{j=1}^m a_j$ and the thesis follows by (2.5).

(3) It follows from (1) and (2).

(4) It follows form (3) and (2.8)

Remark 2.11. Notice that $A_R(m)^{\otimes n} \cong A_R(n,m)$ as multigraded S_n-algebras by means of

$$f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n \leftrightarrow f_1(1) f_2(2) \cdots f_n(n) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in A_R(m)$. Hence $A_R(n,m)^{S_n} \cong TS^n(A_R(m))$, where $TS^n(\;-\;)$ denotes the symmetric tensors functor. Since $TS^n(A_R(m)) \cong R \bigotimes TS^n(A_{\mathbb{Z}}(m))$ (see [1])we have

$$A_R(n,m)^{S_n} \cong R \otimes A_{\mathbb{Z}}(n,m)^{S_n} \tag{2.13}$$

for any commutative ring R.
We then work with $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and we suppress the $\mathbb{Z}$ subscript for sake of simplicity.

Remark 2.14. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module $A(\infty, m)$ can be endowed with a structure of $\mathbb{N}^m$-graded ring such that the π_n are $\mathbb{N}^m$-graded ring homomorphisms: the product $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) e_\beta(\overline{\nu})$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{(\mathcal{M}_m^+)}$, is defined using the product formula of Prop.1.9 with no limits on the maximum value of $\mid \gamma \mid$ with γ appearing in the summation.

Proposition 2.15. *Consider the free polynomial ring*

$$C(m) := \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{N}^m} C(m,a) := \mathbb{Z}[e_{i,\mu}]_{i \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+}$$

with multidegree given by $\partial(e_{i,\mu}) = \partial(\mu) i$.
The multigraded ring homomorphism

$$\sigma_m : \mathbb{Z}[e_{i,\mu}]_{i \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+} \longrightarrow A(\infty, m)$$

given by

$$\sigma_m : e_{i,\mu} \mapsto e_i(\mu),\ \textit{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$$

is an isomorphism, i.e. $A(\infty, m)$ *is freely generated as commutative ring by the* $e_i(\mu)$ *with* $i \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$.

Proof. Since we defined the product in $A(\infty, m)$ as in Prop.1.9 it is easy to verify, repeating the same reasoning of the previous chapter, that $A(\infty, m)$ is generated as commutative ring by the $e_i(\mu)$ with $i \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$. Hence σ_m is onto for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
Let $a \in \mathbb{N}^m$ and consider the restriction $\sigma_{m,a} : C(m, a) \to A(\infty, m, a)$. It is onto as we have just seen. A $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $C(m, a)$ is

$$\{ \prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}, k\in\mathbb{N}, \mu\in\mathfrak{M}_m^+} e_{i,\mu} : \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}, k\in\mathbb{N}, \mu\in\mathfrak{M}_m^+} i\, k\, \partial(\mu) \ = \ a\}$$

on the other hand a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $A(\infty, m, a)$ is

$$\{e_\alpha : \sum_{\alpha_\mu\in\mathbb{N}, \mu\in\mathcal{M}_m^+} \alpha_\mu\, \partial(\mu) \ = \ a\}.$$

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$ then there is an unique $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an unique $\nu \in \mathfrak{M}_m^+$ such that $\mu = \nu^k$, hence

$$\sum_{\alpha_\mu\in\mathbb{N}, \mu\in\mathcal{M}_m^+} \alpha_\mu\, \partial(\mu) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}, \alpha_\mu\in\mathbb{N}, \nu\in\mathfrak{M}_m^+} \alpha_\mu\, k\, \partial(\nu)$$

so that $C(m, a)$ and $A(\infty, m, a)$ have the same (finite) $\mathbb{Z}$-rank and thus are isomorphic.

Corollary 1.16. *Let* $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ *then* $R \otimes A(\infty, m)$ *is a polynomial ring freely generated by the* $e_1(\mu)$ *with* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$.

Proof. By Prop.2.15 and Th.1.14.

Theorem 2.17 - Relations.

(1) *Let* R *be a commutative ring: the following is a presentation of* $A_R(n, m)^{S_n}$ *in terms of generators and relations in the category of* $\mathbb{N}^m$*-graded* R*-algebras*

$$0 \to < \{1_R \otimes e_k(f) \ : \ k > n \ , \ f \in A_R(m)^+\} > \to R \otimes A(\infty, m) \xrightarrow{\pi_n} A_R(n, m)^{S_n} \to 0.$$

(2) *If* $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ *then* $A_R(\infty, m)$ *can be freely generated by the* $e_1(\mu)$ *with* $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+$. *The the kernel of the natural projection is then generated by* $e_{n+1}(f)$ *with* $f \in A_R(m)^+$.

Proof.

(1) As before we set $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and the result will follow by Remark 2.11 and Prop.2.15.
By Prop.2.10 the kernel of $A(\infty, m) \xrightarrow{\pi_n} A(n, m)^{S_n}$ has basis $\{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) : \mid \alpha \mid > n\}$, let V_k be the submodule of $A(\infty, m)$ with basis $\{e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}) : \mid \alpha \mid = k\}$. Let A_k be the sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-module of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes V_k$ generated by the $e_k(f)$ with $f \in A(m)^+$. Let $g : \mathbb{Q} \otimes V_k \to \mathbb{Q}$ be a linear form identically zero on A_k, then
$$0 = g(e_k(f)) = g(e_k(\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+} \lambda_\mu \ \mu)) = (\sum_{|\alpha|=k} (\prod_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+} \lambda_\mu^{\alpha_\mu}) \ g(e_\alpha(\overline{\mu}))),$$
for all $\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_m^+} \lambda_\mu \ \mu \in A(m)^+$, hence $g(e_\alpha(\overline{\mu})) = 0$ for all $e_\alpha(\overline{\mu})$ with $\mid \alpha \mid = k$, thus $g = 0$ and $A_k = V_k$.

(2) If $R \supset \mathbb{Q}$ the result follows from Newton's formulas (1) and Cor.2.16

REFERENCES

1. N.Bourbaky, *Elements of mathematics - Algebra II Chapters 4-7*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
2. P.Fleischmann, *A new degree bound for vector invariants of symmetric groups*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 1703-1712.
3. I.Gelfand,M.Kapranov, A.Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Birkahuser, Boston, 1994.
4. I.G.Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials - second edition*, Oxford mathematical monograph, 1995.
6. H.Weyl, *The classical groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton N.J., 1946.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA - UNIVERSITÁ DI TORINO - VIA CARLO ALBERTO, NR.10 - 10123 - TORINO - ITALY

Current address: Dipartimento di Matematica - Universitá di Torino - Via Carlo Alberto, nr.10 - 10123 - Torino - Italy

E-mail address: `vaccarino@ syzygie.it`